

Principles for Responsible Investment

An investor initiative
in partnership with
UNEP Finance Initiative
and the
UN Global Compact



www.unpri.org
info@unpri.org

Principles for Responsible Investment Reporting and Assessment Tool

Individual Results
July 2008

Introduction

Thank you for participating in the second year of the annual PRI Reporting and Assessment process. The Tool was designed to assist signatories in their efforts to report on progress under Principle 6, and also to provide a methodology for assessing the efforts of individual signatories as well as the progress of the PRI initiative. The full report is available at www.unpri.org/report08/. For the assessment element, responses to some questions were scored as indicated in the user manual. This document conveys your organisation's results. Individual scores are indicative and will be kept confidential.

Responses have been scored on a per-Principle basis, rather than on an overall basis. This removes the need to weigh or value the Principles in relation to each other, recognising that each approach to PRI implementation will reflect the varying needs of each signatory.

Because of the diversity in the signatory base and the different approaches to responsible investment involved, it was deemed important to score signatories for only the actions that are relevant to their fund and its objectives. Thus, only questions deemed applicable to every signatory were assigned points and counted towards the score for that Principle.

There were some questions within the Reporting and Assessment Tool that were not relevant to the assessment process and were therefore not assigned scores. Examples are as follows:

- The questions were informational and not PRI performance-based
- The questions asked for information that cannot be objectively assessed, but was nevertheless useful for building an understanding of signatories' experiences in implementing the PRI
- The question did not reflect actions directly associated with the PRI (e.g. the extent of ethical screening).


Your organisation's results can be found on the next page as calculated using the scoring methodology agreed upon by the PRI Assessment Group.

Interpreting your results


Signatory scores for each Principle have been divided into four quartiles for three groups of respondents: 1) all signatories, 2) peers (asset owners and investment managers), and 3) for each signatory type in each region. You have received three charts. The first indicates your score relative to all signatories, the second indicates your score relative to your peer group (asset owners or investment managers) and the third indicates your score relative to your peer group in your region. The four quartiles are each represented by a box. The top quartile for each Principle is the yellow coloured box and the bottom quartile is the blue coloured box. The median score is the horizontal line between the

orange and green boxes. The black diamond shows your organisation's score for 2007 (if applicable) and the black circle shows your organisation's score for 2008 for each Principle. The position of the circle can tell you both which quartile your organisation is in and the relative position of your organisation within that quartile. If there is no diamond for a Principle, your organisation did not respond in 2007 or did not provide enough answers to meet the scoring threshold for that Principle (a score was not provided when there were a large number of 'not applicable' responses within a Principle). Results are shown by quartile and no absolute score is provided, given the indicative nature of the approach employed.


Your results



Performance for each principle versus peers (Asset Owners) - New Zealand Superannuation Fund



Performance for each principle versus peers (Asset Owners) in Asia-Pacific - New Zealand Superannuation Fund



Number of signatories in peer groups

	P1		P2		P3		P4		P5		P6	
	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008
All signatories	93	156	96	156	88	156	81	156	104	156	89	156
Peers	51	78	54	78	49	78	50	78	59	78	50	78
Peers in your region	9	23	11	23	11	23	11	23	11	23	11	23

Scores have been calculated based on signatories' self assessment and using the scoring methodology approved by the PRI Assessment Group. Not all responses have been independently verified by the PRI Secretariat, PRI Assessment Group, or any other third party. Individual results including comparisons to the overall results (quartiles) are indicative and do not imply an endorsement of signatory activity. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error, omission or inaccuracy in this information."